IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

WRIT PETITION NO : 2984 of 2004

Between:

1 Smt. Daggati Padmavathi, W/o. D.V.Reddy,
R/o. Flat No.101, Pachnuri Bhavan, Dr.No.12-13-1185, Street No.10,
Tarnaka, Secunderabad.
2 Smt. E. Kalavathi, W/o. Suresh R/o. Flat No.403, Pachnuri Bhavan, Dr.No.12-13-1185, Street No.10,
Tarnaka, Secunderabad.
3 K. Nageswara Rao S/o. Narasimhulu,
R/o. Flat No.403, Pachnuri Bhavan, Dr.No.12-13-1185, Street No.10,
Tarnaka, Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Marredpalli, Secunderabad.
2 Deputy Director, Social Welfare, Hyderabad District at Nampally.
3 The Special Officer and Competent Authority, Urban Land Ceiling at Chandra Vihar, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in attempting to forcibly dispossess the petitioners from their respective flats No.101, 403 & 203 in Pachnuri Bhavan in an Extent of 480 sq.yards in the premises bearing Door No.12-13-1185, Street No.10, Tarnaka, Secunderabad, in which the petitioners and their predecessor-in-title are actually and physically residing for the last more than 30 years without issuing any notice, without giving any opportunity of bearing heard and without passing any order and recourse to due process of law as illegal, arbitrary, malafide, unjust, capricious and without any lawful authority and against the principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with our possession and enjoyment of the said flats excepting under due process of law.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.C.S.SURYA PRAKASH RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following :

.

***ORAL ORDER*:**

To day when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, requested the Court to permit him to withdraw the petition and he further submitted that he had addressed a letter to the Registry also to that effect, which was made part of the record. Permission is accorded.

Recording the above, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No costs.

GODA RAGHURAM, J

24th February 2005

*TSNR

TO

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Marredpalli, Secunderabad.
2 The Deputy Director, Social Welfare, Hyderabad District at Nampally.
3 The Special Officer and Competent Authority, Urban Land Ceiling at Chandra Vihar, Hyderabad.

4. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court Buildings, High Court of A.P.Hyderabad(OUT)

5. Two C.D.Copies